APPSOD

[10744/4200]

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2834

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s)

Johannes-Joerg RUEGER et al.

Serial No.

09/824,193

Filed

April 2, 2001

For

COMPENSATION OF BATCH VARIATION IN THE TRAVEL

DUE TO VARIATIONS IN THE LAYER THICKNESS OR NUMBER OF LAYERS IN MULTI-LAYER PIEZOELECTRIC

ELEMENTS

Examiner

Mark O. Budd

Art Unit

2834

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

Signature: Richard Mayer (Reg. No. 2,498)

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

SIR:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application is a Reply Uner 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

Applicants request a two month extension of time to respond to the Final Office Action mailed October 10, 2002, resetting the response date to March 10, 2003. The extension fee of \$410.00 and any additional fees should be charged to Kenyon & Kenyon, Deposit Account No. 11-0600. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed for that purpose.

Dated

Bv:

D/- 214

Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Maye

Reg. No. 22,490

26646

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

KENYON & KENYON One Broadway New York, New York 10004 (212) 425-7200

[10744/4200]

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 8 **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2834**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s)

Johannes-Joerg RUEGER et al.

Serial No.

09/824,193

Filed

April 2, 2001

For

COMPENSATION OF BATCH VARIATION IN THE TRAVEL DUE TO VARIATIONS IN THE LAYER THICKNESS OR NUMBER OF LAYERS IN MULTI-

LAYER PIEZOELECTRIC ELEMENTS

Examiner

Mark O. Budd

Art Unit

2834

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on:

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

SIR:

This paper addresses the Final Office Action dated October 10, 2002 in connection with the above-captioned application.

REMARKS

I. Introduction

Claims 1 to 34 are pending in the present application. In view & the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable, and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

II. Rejection of Claims 8 to 17 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 8 to 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as allegedly "vague and indefinite for the reasons noted in paper no 6 (3-27-02)." Final Office Action at p. 2. The Office Action of March 27, 2002 states at page 2 that "[t]hese claims are vague and indefinite in that they purpose [sic] to be drawn to a method for charging but no process or method steps are claimed." The present Final Office Action states that "no specific recognizable steps are claimed,"

09824193 32/27/2003 JBALINAN 00000006 110600